UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,407	04/14/2005	Manfred Roessler	10191/3926	8244
26646 KENYON & K	7590 03/19/200 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADV	VAY	MCGRAW, TREVOR EDWIN		
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/531,407	ROESSLER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Trevor E. McGraw	3752				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 D</u>	ecember 2007					
-	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
· ·	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7</u> is/are pending in the application.						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	6) Claim(s) / is/are rejected.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.					
	ion Papers						
,	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	* * *	· ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notic 3) Infor	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date 12/14/2007.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gray (US 4,245,789) in view of Maier et al. (US 5,732,888).

In regard to Claim 7, Gray teaches a fuel injector with a solenoid assembly (14), an armature (73) acted upon by a spring (77) in the closing direction, a valve needle (72) that is connected to the armature (73) by force locking where a valve closure member (Figure 1) is formed which forms a sealing seat with a valve needle surface (Figure 1) where the armature stop face (73s) strikes against a stop face (63s) of an inner pole (63) where the surface structure of the armature stop face (73s) and the stop face of the inner pole (63s) have raised and recessed dome shaped areas (Figure 2) at a height difference of 0.4 µm to 0.8 µm for the inner pole stop face (63s) and 0.2 µm to 0.3 µm for the armature stop face (73s).

However, Gray fails to teach an armature stop face (73s) and inner pole piece being coated with a plurality of chromium layers where the height difference between the raised and recessed dome shaped areas are in a height difference between 5 μ m to 10 μ m.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,407 Page 3

Art Unit: 3752

armature.

On the other hand, Maier et al. teaches that it is old and well known in the art to have a coating used with an armature to provide a wear resistance medium for the

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to modify the armature stop face and inner pole piece of Gray to be made with a chromium coating as taught by Maier et al. (US 5,732,888) to provide for a high resistant coating to preclude or reduce operational wear per cycle of the fuel injector.

It is also obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the present invention was made to change the height difference between the raised and recessed dome shaped areas as taught by Gray to between 5 µm and 10 µm as discovering the optimum or workable ranges only involves routine skill to one having ordinary skill in the art.

Furthermore, it is further obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the present invention was made for the raised and recessed dome shaped areas to be reduced to between 4 µm and 5 µm as discovering the optimum value of a result effective variable further involves routine skill for one having ordinary skill in the art.

Response to Arguments

Rejection under 35 USC § 103

Applicant's arguments filed 12/14/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's amendment to the Claim has been addressed and required minimal revision to the applied rejection mailed 07/18/2007. Examiner cannot agree with Applicant's contention regarding the armature stop face and inner pole piece are not obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art. Such changes are elementary and well within one's skill in the art. Accordingly, Examiner maintains the rejection to Claim 7 as all the limitations of the Claim are taught by Gray in view of Maier et al. One having ordinary skill would reasonably expect a level of success of the combined references. And the motivation as stated above was applied properly to meet the conditions of 35 USC § 103 (a).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,407 Page 5

Art Unit: 3752

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trevor McGraw whose telephone number is (571) 272-7375. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (2nd & 4th Friday Off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Dinh Q Nguyen/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3752

/T. E. M./ Examiner, Art Unit 3752